

MicroRNA Biogenesis via Splicing and Exosome-Mediated Trimming in *Drosophila*

Alex S. Flynt,¹ Jaclyn C. Greimann,² Wei-Jen Chung,^{1,3} Christopher D. Lima,² and Eric C. Lai^{1,*}

¹Developmental Biology Program

²Structural Biology Program

Sloan-Kettering Institute, 1275 York Avenue, Box 252, New York, NY 10065, USA

³Present address: Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA

*Correspondence: laie@mskcc.org

DOI 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.06.014

SUMMARY

microRNAs (miRNAs) are ~22 nucleotide regulatory RNAs derived from hairpins generated either by Drosha cleavage (canonical substrates) or by splicing and debranching of short introns (mirtrons). The 5' end of the highly conserved *Drosophila* mirtron-like locus *mir-1017* is coincident with the splice donor, but a substantial "tail" separates its hairpin from the 3' splice acceptor. Genetic and biochemical studies define a biogenesis pathway involving splicing, lariat debranching, and RNA exosome-mediated "trimming," followed by conventional dicing and loading into AGO1 to yield a miRNA that can repress seed-matched targets. Analysis of cloned small RNAs yielded six additional candidate 3' tailed mirtrons in *D. melanogaster*. Altogether, these data reveal an unexpected role for the exosome in the biogenesis of miRNAs from hybrid mirtron substrates.

INTRODUCTION

Canonical miRNAs derive from primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) transcripts bearing one or more imperfect hairpins typically ~55–70 nt in length. In animals, pri-miRNAs are cleaved by the nuclear Drosha RNase III enzyme to release pre-miRNA hairpins, which are cleaved by the cytoplasmic Dicer RNase III enzyme to generate miRNA/miRNA* duplexes (Kim et al., 2009). One strand is preferentially selected for incorporation into an Argonaute complex, which uses the miRNA as a guide to identify mRNA targets for degradation and/or translational inhibition (Filipowicz et al., 2008). Animal miRNAs usually target partially complementary mRNAs, often involving 7 nt of Watson-Crick basepairing to positions 2–8 of the miRNA (the "seed") (Bartel, 2009; Brennecke et al., 2005; Lai, 2002).

The repertoire of miRNA-class regulatory RNAs was expanded by the discovery of short hairpin introns known as mirtrons (Okamura et al., 2007; Ruby et al., 2007a). Mirtrons bypass Drosha cleavage by exploiting the spliceosome to generate their precursor ends. Following lariat debranching, linearized mirtrons adopt hairpin structures that are diced and loaded into Argonaute proteins as functional miRNAs. While

best characterized in *Drosophila*, mirtrons exist in species as diverse as nematodes (Ruby et al., 2007a), mammals (Babiarz et al., 2008; Berezikov et al., 2007), avians (Glazov et al., 2008), and potentially plants (Zhu et al., 2008).

In the atypical *Drosophila* mirtron-like locus (*mir-1017*), only the 5' hairpin terminus coincides with a splice junction; a substantial 3' tail follows to its 3' splice junction (Ruby et al., 2007a). We provide genetic and biochemical evidence that *mir-1017* generates a miRNA-class regulatory RNA via a multi-step process involving intron splicing and debranching, exosome-mediated trimming of the 3' tail, and dicing. Analysis of *Drosophila* small RNA data revealed additional intronic hairpins bearing 3' tails that are processed into miRNA/miRNA* duplexes, revealing a subfamily of miRNAs that transit an exosome-mediated biogenesis pathway.

RESULTS

The Tailed mirtron *mir-1017* Encodes a Functional miRNA-Class Regulatory RNA

mir-1017 is located in an intron of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha subunit gene at 96AB (*nAChRalpha-96Ab*), previously known as the *Da2* subunit (Bossy et al., 1988). This locus was annotated, on the basis of ~150 small RNA reads, as a mirtron-like precursor for which only the 5' hairpin end coincides with a splice junction; a ~100 nt extension ensues before the 3' splice site (Ruby et al., 2007a). Small RNA data from male heads (Chung et al., 2008) containing ~6000 reads for mature miR-1017 now revealed 14 miR-1017* reads, which initiated precisely with the 5' splice donor sequence. Together with mature miR-1017, these adopted a typical miRNA duplex exhibiting 3' overhangs (Figure 1A). These features were consistent with its biogenesis via a splicing- and dicing-dependent mechanism.

mir-1017 has been highly conserved across the 12 sequenced *Drosophila* species (Figure 1A), and its evolutionary profile follows the characteristic evolutionary pattern for conserved canonical miRNA and mirtron genes (Lai et al., 2003; Okamura et al., 2007). Specifically, its terminal loop is much more divergent than the stem, and the miRNA* is slightly less conserved than the mature miRNA. Pre-miRNA hairpins typically exhibit conservation in flanking 5' and 3' sequence, since these mediate accurate Drosha processing (in the case of canonical miRNAs) (Han et al., 2006) or have protein-coding potential (in the case




Figure 1. *Drosophila mir-1017*, a Tailed Mirtron Locus

(A) Alignment of the exon 4–5 region of *nAchalpha-96Ab* across 12 Drosophilids (http://ucsc.genome.org). The pre-miRNA hairpin embedded at the 5' end of the intron follows the characteristic pattern of miRNA evolution in that the hairpin arms are much more conserved than the terminal loop; the miRNA* arm is also slightly more diverged than the miRNA-encoding arm. A ~100 nt tail lacking sequence constraint separates the 3' end of the hairpin from the 3' splice acceptor site. Small RNA data from male heads revealed that miR-1017* reads (blue) initiate precisely with the 5' splice donor, and together with its partner miR-1017 (red) form a typical miRNA/miRNA* duplex with 3' overhangs (inset; the asterisk denotes most frequent 3p read terminus).

(B) Northern analysis indicates the specific accumulation of mature miR-1017 in adult heads.

(C) Conservation of two miR-1017 seed matches in the *yan* 3'UTR.

(D) Sensor assay for miRNA-type target repression by miR-1017. Shown are wing pouches of third instar wing imaginal discs bearing *ptc-Gal4*, *UAS-DsRed-mir-1017*, and either *tub-GFP* (above) or *tub-GFP-yan 3'UTR* (below). dsRed fluorescence marks the domain of miR-1017 activity while the center panels show GFP staining; merged channels are shown to the right. Ectopic miR-1017 did not affect the control sensor but robustly and specifically repressed the *GFP-yan 3'UTR* sensor (asterisk).

of mirtrons). In contrast, the intronic sequence immediately downstream of the *pre-mir-1017* hairpin has diverged substantially (Figure 1A), and no potential “AG” splice acceptor exists until the conserved, constitutive, intron-exon junction ~100 nt downstream. Developmental Northern analysis detected miR-1017 only in adult heads (Figure 1B), consistent with head-specific expression of its host gene (http://www.flyatlas.org). This implied that expression of miR-1017 is coupled to transcription of *Dα2*.

TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org) predicted the Ets-domain transcription factor encoded by *anterior open/yan* as a likely target of miR-1017. The *yan* 3'UTR contains a miR-1017 8-mer site (2–8 seed pairing+1A) perfectly conserved across all 12 sequenced Drosophilids, and a “2–8” 7-mer site conserved across 9 species (Figure 1C). We used an in vivo sensor assay (Stark et al., 2003) to ask whether miR-1017 could repress via the *yan* 3'UTR (Li and Carthew, 2005). While activation of *UAS-DsRed-mir-1017* using *ptc-Gal4* did not affect a *tub-GFP* control transgene, it specifically and robustly repressed a *tub-GFP-yan 3'UTR* transgene (Figure 1D). We confirmed the ability

of *mir-1017* to inhibit a *renilla luciferase-yan 3'UTR* sensor in transfected S2R+ cells, which do not express miR-1017 endogenously (Figure S1). This was due directly to the miR-1017 seed matches, since mutation of these sites in *yan* abrogated repression (Figure S1). Therefore, miR-1017 exhibits typical miRNA activity in both S2 cells and in the animal.

Sequence Requirements for the Biogenesis of 3' Tailed Mirtrons

We used structure-function studies to probe *mir-1017* biogenesis (Figure 2A). The *pri-mir-1017* intron tail has incurred abundant nucleotide changes, insertions, and deletions during Drosophilid radiation (Figure 1A), suggesting that substantial flexibility in “tail” sequence is compatible with access to the miRNA pathway. To verify this, we compared UAS-DsRed constructs carrying ~500 bp minigenes centered on the *mir-1017* intron from *Drosophila melanogaster* (*Dme*), *Drosophila simulans* (*Dsi*), and *Drosophila mojavensis* (*Dmo*) in S2R+ cells. All three yielded mature miR-1017 (Figure 2B), verifying that different tailing sequences are compatible with trimming of




Figure 2. Structure-Function Analysis of Tailed Mirtron Substrates

(A) These panels depict Northern analysis of S2R+ cells transfected with *ub-Gal4* and *UAS-DsRed-mir-1017* or *mir-1010* constructs. In (A), constructs used in these tests are shown. Wild-type *mir-1017* plasmids contained ~500 bp, including endogenous flanking exons from *D. melanogaster* (*Dme*), *D. simulans* (*Dsi*), and *D. mojavensis* (*Dmo*); a *Dme* 5' splice site mutant was generated in this context. The “*mir-1010*” construct expresses this mirtron from a 500 bp context, while the “*mir-1010 tailed*” construct contains a point mutation in its endogenous 3' splice acceptor, fused to the *mir-1017* intron tail. The last constructs contain 12 guanine residues inserted into the intron or exon of *pri-mir-1017*.

(B) Constructs derived from all three species yielded mature miR-1017. Mutation of the GU 5' splice donor to “CC” abolished the production of all intermediate and mature *Dme* miR-1017 products.

(C) The conventional *mir-1010* mirtron can be converted into a functional tailed mirtron.

(D) Insertion of G₁₂ into the *mir-1017* intron interferes with generation of the pre-miRNA hairpin and mature product, but biogenesis is unaffected when G₁₂ was inserted into exon sequence. In this experiment, *mir-1017* and *mir-1010* plasmids were cotransfected and production of mature miR-1010 was assayed as a blotting control; other experiments assayed 30 nt 2S rRNA hybridization.

pri-mir-1017. On the other hand, *pri-mir-1017* definitively requires splicing, since point mutation of the 5' splice site (Figure 2A, “*mir-1017 5'ssMut*”) abolished the appearance of all intermediate and mature forms of miR-1017 (Figure 2B). The splice-site mutant generated similar levels of DsRed as wild-type constructs, indicating normal expression (Figure S2A).

To assess a potential intrinsic requirement for the *mir-1017* hairpin itself, we exchanged it for the *mir-1010* mirtron hairpin (“*mir-1010-tailed*”) in the context of the *mir-1017* intron; the endogenous 3' splice site of *mir-1010* was mutated to force

usage of the *Dα2/mir-1017* splice site. As with the normal *mir-1010* construct (Okamura et al., 2007), the tailed variant supported the production of mature miR-1010 (Figure 2C). Therefore, access to the tailed mirtron biogenesis pathway is portable to synthetic substrates.

We inferred that after splicing, the tailed region following the *mir-1017* hairpin must be removed by endonucleolytic or exonucleolytic cleavage. Putative 3' end processing was consistent with the relatively imprecisely defined 3' ends of cloned miR-1017 reads (Figure 1A). To examine this further, we inserted




Figure 3. Unique Biogenesis of Tailed Mirtrons via an Exosome-Mediated Pathway

(A) Depletion of *Drosha* and *pasha* in S2 cells reduced mature miR-276a but not miR-1017. Conversely, miR-1017 was highly sensitive to loss of lariat debranching enzyme (Ldbr); these cells accumulate branched intermediates (see also Figure S4). Knockdown of *Dicer-1* reduced the level of mature miR-1017 and miR-276a, and knockdown of *AGO1* nearly eliminated these species.

(B) Mature miR-1017 was reduced relative to miR-276a upon depletion of the *rrp6*, *dis3*, *mtr3*, and *rrp45*, while its full-length intron accumulated. rt-PCR across flanking exons indicated that exosome depletion did not alter transcription or splicing of *pri-mir-1017*.

(C) miR-1017 was selectively depleted relative to miR-276a in exosome knockdown cells. Ratio of mature miR-1017 relative to miR-276a were normalized to their ratio in *GFP* knockdown cells. Standard error is shown; all differences in exosome knockdown cells were significant to < 0.001 by pairwise t test.

(D) Accumulation of full-length *pri-mir-1017* intron in exosome knockdown cells; all differences were significant to < 0.025 by pairwise t test. These graphs summarize data from five independent knockdown samples analyzed by Northern blot; error bars indicate standard error.

(E–H) Full-length in vitro transcribed *mir-1017* intron was incubated with reconstituted 11-subunit yeast nuclear exosome holoenzyme (yExo11), yRrp6 alone, or 10-subunit yeast cytoplasmic exosome lacking yRrp6 (yExo10). Time course assays reveal the conversion of the full-length substrate (arrowhead) into a relatively stable hairpin product (arrow), here detected with SYBR Gold staining of yExo11 (E) and yRrp6 (F) reactions. yExo10 failed to process the *mir-1017* intron (G), but was active on other substrates (Figure S5). In (H), the yExo11-trimmed product comigrates with in vivo processed *mir-1017* pre-miRNA hairpin, as assayed by Northern blot; “M,” RNA size markers. The full-length 169 nt intron migrated differently in this experiment than in Figure 3B; see also Figure S4.

a dozen guanine residues into the *dme-mir-1017* construct (Figure 2A); such a poly-G tract was previously used to block exonuclease processing (Anderson and Parker, 1998). We inserted the poly-G tract 20 nt upstream of the 3' splice site (G_{12} intron), and made a control insertion 30 nt downstream of the 3' splice site (G_{12} exon). Placement of G_{12} into the intron, 80 nt downstream of the *mir-1017* hairpin, severely disturbed the accumulation of hairpin and mature forms of *mir-1017* (Figure 2D). In contrast, insertion of G_{12} into the 3' exon did not interfere with *mir-1017* biogenesis. rt-PCR confirmed effective splicing of both G_{12} intron and G_{12} exon variants, although both accumulated slightly more unspliced product relative to wild-type (Figure S2B). The observation that the spliced

pri-mir-1017-G₁₂ intron was barely able to generate pre-miRNA hairpin, and produced almost no mature miRNA, implied that removal of the *mir-1017* “tail” depends on exonuclease activity.

Biogenesis of Tailed Mirtrons Requires Components of the Mirtron Pathway

To determine specific factors involved in miR-1017 biogenesis, we depleted members of the miRNA/mirtron pathways from S2R+ cells and then cotransfected them with *ub-Gal4* and *UAS-DsRed-mir-1017* (Figure 3A). Harvested RNAs were subjected to Northern blotting with antisense probes to miR-1017, miR-276a (a canonical miRNA) and 2S rRNA as a control; knockdown efficiency was determined by qRT-PCR (Figure S3A).

The biogenesis of miR-1017 exhibited hallmarks of a conventional mirtron, in that it was clearly dependent on *Ldbr* but was little affected by *Drosha/Pasha* knockdown (Figure 3A). In addition to a 2-fold decrease in mature miR-1017, *Ldbr* knockdown cells accumulated several putatively branched intermediates (Figure 3A, top blot). Analysis of 16%, 12%, and 8% PAGE revealed three species with variable mobility upon *Ldbr* depletion (Figure S4), indicating their nonlinear structure. Along with the splice site mutant test (Figure 2), these data confirmed the biogenesis of miR-1017 via a genuine mirtron pathway. However, *mir-1017* biogenesis merged with the canonical miRNA pathway, since it required *Dicer-1* and *AGO1* (Figure 3A). These characteristics confirmed the classification of 3' tailed mirtron products as genuine miRNAs.

Trimming of Tailed Mirtrons Is Mediated by the RNA Exosome

An attractive candidate to remove the *mir-1017* tail is the RNA exosome, a multisubunit complex that serves as the major 3'-to-5' exonuclease for RNA turnover in eukaryotic cells (Houseley et al., 2006). This multisubunit complex degrades or processes substrates via Dis3 (Rrp44), a processive 3'-to-5' exoribonuclease, and Rrp6, a distributive 3'-to-5' exoribonuclease. While Dis3 is a core constituent of both nuclear and cytoplasmic forms of the exosome, Rrp6 is believed to be specific to the nuclear exosome.

To test the requirement for the exosome in maturation of miR-1017, we depleted a panel of exosome subunits using dsRNAs to *rrp6*, *dis3*, *mtr3*, and *rrp45* (Figure S3A). We also observed accumulation of precursor rRNA with all exosome subunits excepting Rrp45 (Figure S3B), providing additional evidence for functional exosome loss. Mature miR-1017 was reproducibly reduced in cells depleted of any of the four exosome subunits (Figure 3B), under conditions in which the accumulation of endogenous miR-276a (Figure 3B) and bantam miRNA (data not shown) were barely affected. The data from five independent knockdown experiments were quantified in Figure 3C. We also excluded that the knockdown conditions interfered with transcription and splicing across the *mir-1017* host intron, since rt-PCR using primers in the flanking exons revealed similar amounts of unspliced and spliced products in cells treated with *GFP* or exosome dsRNAs (Figure 3B, bottom).

Treatment with exosome dsRNAs resulted in accumulation of full-length *pri-mir-1017* intron (Figure 3B, top blot). The absolute amount of untrimmed intron was somewhat variable, and this may be due to variable amounts of residual exosome proteins in these knockdowns, which were not tolerated as well as other dsRNA incubations. However, quantification of the ratio of full-length *pri-mir-1017* intron to *pre-mir-1017* hairpin across multiple independent knockdowns demonstrated highly reproducible defects in the conversion of the linearized full-length intron into the pre-miRNA hairpin, upon depletion of any of the four exosome subunits (Figure 3D).

In Vitro Reconstitution of the 3' Tail Trimming Reaction

The extent of exosome- and Rrp6-mediated decay can be modulated by substrate structure (Liu et al., 2006), consistent with their characterized roles in maturing the 3' ends of struc-

ured RNAs such as rRNAs, snRNAs, and snoRNAs (Allmang et al., 1999). We hypothesized that the structure of the pre-miRNA hairpin embedded in the tailed mirtron precursor might permit its release from an engaged exosome, and sought to test this in vitro. To date, active 11-subunit RNA exosome holoenzyme (Exo11) has only been successfully reconstituted using purified components from *S. cerevisiae* (Liu et al., 2006). Nevertheless, given the highly conserved nature of the RNA exosome, we considered this to be a relevant experimental system.

We incubated purified, reconstituted yExo11 (Greimann and Lima, 2008) with full-length *pri-mir-1017* intron produced by in vitro transcription, and analyzed products using SYBR Gold staining. These reactions yielded relatively stable accumulation of a ~70 nt intermediate corresponding to the *pre-mir-1017* hairpin (Figure 3E). We obtained similar results in reactions with purified yRrp6 (Figure 3F). In contrast, purified yExo10, the cytoplasmic exosome complex containing Dis3 ribonuclease but lacking Rrp6, was unable to process the *pri-mir-1017* intron (Figure 3G). Using aliquots from the same exosome preparations used for *mir-1017* tests, we demonstrated all three purified complexes to have exoribonuclease activity using a control RNA substrate (Liu et al., 2006) (Figure S5).

Northern analysis of the 0' and 160' yExo11 reactions with full length *pri-mir-1017* intron showed that its product comigrated with the hairpin produced by in vivo processed *UAS-DsRed-mir-1017* (Figure 3H). The liberation of a pre-miRNA-like hairpin, following digestion of the debranched tailed mirtron, illustrates how *pre-mir-1017* avoids degradation during exosome-mediated biogenesis. As Rrp6 was necessary (as judged by comparing yExo10 and yExo11 reactions) and sufficient for removal of the *pri-mir-1017* intron tail, we infer that trimming in vivo normally occurs in the nucleus and likely involves an Rrp6-containing exosome. However, we do not exclude the possibility of a handoff between different exosome complexes in vivo, or the possibility that Rrp6 operates alone during some aspect of miR-1017 maturation.

Genome Survey for other *Drosophila* Tailed Mirtrons

We recently annotated the second intron of CG7927/*mir-2501* as containing a mirtron (Berezikov et al., 2010); however, reconsideration of this locus indicated a 4–5 nt tail from the end of the 3p cloned read to the splice acceptor (Figure S6). Since this tail should be removed for the hairpin to serve as an Exportin-5 substrate (Okada et al., 2009), we believe that this is also a tailed mirtron locus. We searched for other loci using a collection of ~15 million *Drosophila* short RNA sequences (Chung et al., 2008; Ruby et al., 2007b). We mapped reads to introns <200 nt in length, and generated candidate RNA folds. We then asked if any loci satisfied the criteria of having a hairpin at one end of the intron, for which >20 cloned short RNAs mapped to both arms of the hairpin as a duplex with 3' overhangs. The most highly expressed locus was *mir-1017*, but six other loci generated 25–150 reads and warranted classification as tailed mirtrons (Figures 4 and S6). These loci are modestly expressed compared to miR-1017, and it is possible that they are less effective substrates of the tailed mirtron pathway. Nevertheless, the recovery of miRNA and miRNA* reads indicates their relatively specific processing by a Dicer enzyme (Chiang et al., 2010).

The RNA exosome is well known for its role in the turnover of normal mRNAs and abnormal transcripts. However, this study provides additional evidence for positive roles of the exosome in the biogenesis of noncoding RNAs. In previous studies, the exosome was shown to be required for maturation of rRNA, snRNAs, and snoRNAs through 3'- to-5' trimming of terminal nucleotides. Thus, the consequence of blocking exosome processing of these substrates is the retention of undesired 3' nucleotides (Allmang et al., 1999). The role of the exosome in biogenesis of 3' tailed mirtrons is distinct in that substrate trimming is prerequisite for subsequent steps in substrate metabolism. In this sense it is reminiscent of processing of yeast 5.8S rRNA, which involves consecutive exonucleolytic processing reactions by the exosome followed by the Rex proteins (van Hoof et al., 2000). Our evidence that 3' trimming is mediated by the nuclear Exo11 complex via Rrp6 (Figures 3E and 3F) suggests that the trimming and dicing reactions are compartmentalized in the cell. Removal of the 3' tail may be requisite for efficient export by Exportin-5, which is selective for hairpins with a short 3' overhang (Okada et al., 2009).

Other noncanonical substrates generate miRNA-class regulatory RNAs, including certain snoRNAs (Ender et al., 2008) and tRNA (Babiarz et al., 2008). More recently, a viral tRNA/miRNA fusion was found to use tRNaseZ to liberate a pre-miRNA hairpin (Bogerd et al., 2010). In addition, siRNA-class regulatory RNAs derive from other classes of inverted repeat transcripts, such as hairpin RNAs and endo-shRNAs (Babiarz et al., 2008; Czech et al., 2008; Kawamura et al., 2008; Okamura et al., 2008b). Finally, a variety of *trans*-encoded substrates, generating either perfect or imperfect dsRNA, access Dicer pathways to generate endo-siRNAs in *Drosophila* (Chung et al., 2008; Czech et al., 2008; Ghildiyal et al., 2008; Kawamura et al., 2008; Okamura et al., 2008a; Okamura and Lai, 2008) and mammals (Babiarz et al., 2008; Tam et al., 2008; Watanabe et al., 2008). Altogether, a multitude of biogenesis pathways have emanated from the simple building blocks of *cis*- or *trans*-encoded dsRNA and a Dicer-class enzyme to generate diverse regulatory RNAs (Okamura et al., 2008c).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

We used previously published methods for knockdowns, transfections and Northern analysis (Okamura et al., 2007), exosome analysis (Greimann and Lima, 2008), and *Drosophila* immunohistochemistry (Lai and Rubin, 2001). A detailed description of the experimental methods, along with all of the primer sequences used for cloning or hybridization, are found in the Supplemental Information.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures, Supplemental Experimental Procedures, and Supplemental References and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.06.014.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Katsutomo Okamura for preliminary Northern analysis of miR-1017 and critical reading of the manuscript, and David Tyler for constructing *UAS-DsRed-mir-1017* files. We are grateful to Drs. Richard Carthew and Stephen Cohen for gifts of *Drosophila* stocks and plasmids that were critical

for this study. J.C.G. and C.D.L. were supported in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM079196). E.C.L. was supported by the Sidney Kimmel Cancer Foundation, the Burroughs Wellcome Foundation, the Alfred Bressler Scholars Fund and the US National Institutes of Health (GM083300 and HG004261).

Received: January 8, 2010

Revised: April 16, 2010

Accepted: June 1, 2010

Published: June 24, 2010

REFERENCES

- Allmang, C., Kufel, J., Chanfreau, G., Mitchell, P., Petfalski, E., and Tollervey, D. (1999). Functions of the exosome in rRNA, snoRNA and snRNA synthesis. *EMBO J.* 18, 5399–5410.
- Anderson, J.S., and Parker, R.P. (1998). The 3' to 5' degradation of yeast mRNAs is a general mechanism for mRNA turnover that requires the SKI2 DEVH box protein and 3' to 5' exonucleases of the exosome complex. *EMBO J.* 17, 1497–1506.
- Babiarz, J.E., Ruby, J.G., Wang, Y., Bartel, D.P., and Blelloch, R. (2008). Mouse ES cells express endogenous shRNAs, siRNAs, and other Microprocessor-independent, Dicer-dependent small RNAs. *Genes Dev.* 22, 2773–2785.
- Bartel, D.P. (2009). MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell* 136, 215–233.
- Berezikov, E., Chung, W.-J., Willis, J., Cuppen, E., and Lai, E.C. (2007). Mammalian mirtron genes. *Mol. Cell* 28, 328–336.
- Berezikov, E., Liu, N., Flynt, A.S., Hodges, E., Rooks, M., Hannon, G.J., and Lai, E.C. (2010). Evolutionary flux of canonical microRNAs and mirtrons in *Drosophila*. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 6–9, author reply 9–10.
- Bogerd, H.P., Karnowski, H.W., Cai, X., Shin, J., Pohlers, M., and Cullen, B.R. (2010). A mammalian herpesvirus uses noncanonical expression and processing mechanisms to generate viral MicroRNAs. *Mol. Cell* 37, 135–142.
- Bossy, B., Ballivet, M., and Spierer, P. (1988). Conservation of neural nicotinic acetylcholine receptors from *Drosophila* to vertebrate central nervous systems. *EMBO J.* 7, 611–618.
- Brennecke, J., Stark, A., Russell, R.B., and Cohen, S.M. (2005). Principles of microRNA-target recognition. *PLoS Biol.* 3, e85.
- Chiang, H.R., Schoenfeld, L.W., Ruby, J.G., Auyeung, V.C., Spies, N., Baek, D., Johnston, W.K., Russ, C., Luo, S., Babiarz, J.E., et al. (2010). Mammalian microRNAs: experimental evaluation of novel and previously annotated genes. *Genes Dev.* 24, 992–1009.
- Chung, W.J., Okamura, K., Martin, R., and Lai, E.C. (2008). Endogenous RNA interference provides a somatic defense against *Drosophila* transposons. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 795–802.
- Czech, B., Malone, C.D., Zhou, R., Stark, A., Schlingeheyde, C., Dus, M., Perrimon, N., Kellis, M., Wohlschlegel, J.A., Sachidanandam, R., et al. (2008). An endogenous small interfering RNA pathway in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 453, 798–802.
- Ender, C., Krek, A., Friedländer, M.R., Beitzinger, M., Weinmann, L., Chen, W., Pfeffer, S., Rajewsky, N., and Meister, G. (2008). A human snoRNA with microRNA-like functions. *Mol. Cell* 32, 519–528.
- Filipowicz, W., Bhattacharyya, S.N., and Sonenberg, N. (2008). Mechanisms of post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs: are the answers in sight? *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9, 102–114.
- Ghildiyal, M., Seitz, H., Horwich, M.D., Li, C., Du, T., Lee, S., Xu, J., Kittler, E.L., Zapp, M.L., Weng, Z., and Zamore, P.D. (2008). Endogenous siRNAs derived from transposons and mRNAs in *Drosophila* somatic cells. *Science* 320, 1077–1081.
- Glazov, E.A., Cottee, P.A., Barris, W.C., Moore, R.J., Dalrymple, B.P., and Tizard, M.L. (2008). A microRNA catalog of the developing chicken embryo identified by a deep sequencing approach. *Genome Res.* 18, 957–964.

- Greimann, J.C., and Lima, C.D. (2008). Reconstitution of RNA exosomes from human and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cloning, expression, purification, and activity assays. *Methods Enzymol.* **448**, 185–210.
- Han, J., Lee, Y., Yeom, K.H., Nam, J.W., Heo, I., Rhee, J.K., Sohn, S.Y., Cho, Y., Zhang, B.T., and Kim, V.N. (2006). Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. *Cell* **125**, 887–901.
- Houseley, J., LaCava, J., and Tollervey, D. (2006). RNA-quality control by the exosome. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **7**, 529–539.
- Kawamura, Y., Saito, K., Kin, T., Ono, Y., Asai, K., Sunohara, T., Okada, T.N., Siomi, M.C., and Siomi, H. (2008). *Drosophila* endogenous small RNAs bind to Argonaute 2 in somatic cells. *Nature* **453**, 793–797.
- Kim, V.N., Han, J., and Siomi, M.C. (2009). Biogenesis of small RNAs in animals. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **10**, 126–139.
- Lai, E.C. (2002). Micro RNAs are complementary to 3' UTR sequence motifs that mediate negative post-transcriptional regulation. *Nat. Genet.* **30**, 363–364.
- Lai, E.C., and Rubin, G.M. (2001). *neuralized* functions cell-autonomously to regulate a subset of notch-dependent processes during adult *Drosophila* development. *Dev. Biol.* **231**, 217–233.
- Lai, E.C., Tomancak, P., Williams, R.W., and Rubin, G.M. (2003). Computational identification of *Drosophila* microRNA genes. *Genome Biol.* **4**, R42.41–R42.20.
- Li, X., and Carthew, R.W. (2005). A microRNA mediates EGF receptor signaling and promotes photoreceptor differentiation in the *Drosophila* eye. *Cell* **123**, 1267–1277.
- Liu, Q., Greimann, J.C., and Lima, C.D. (2006). Reconstitution, activities, and structure of the eukaryotic RNA exosome. *Cell* **127**, 1223–1237.
- Okada, C., Yamashita, E., Lee, S.J., Shibata, S., Katahira, J., Nakagawa, A., Yoneda, Y., and Tsukihara, T. (2009). A high-resolution structure of the pre-microRNA nuclear export machinery. *Science* **326**, 1275–1279.
- Okamura, K., Balla, S., Martin, R., Liu, N., and Lai, E.C. (2008a). Two distinct mechanisms generate endogenous siRNAs from bidirectional transcription in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**, 581–590.
- Okamura, K., Chung, W.-J., Ruby, J.G., Guo, H., Bartel, D.P., and Lai, E.C. (2008b). The *Drosophila* hairpin RNA pathway generates endogenous short interfering RNAs. *Nature* **453**, 803–806.
- Okamura, K., Chung, W.J., and Lai, E.C. (2008c). The long and short of inverted repeat genes in animals: microRNAs, mirtrons and hairpin RNAs. *Cell Cycle* **7**, 2840–2845.
- Okamura, K., Hagen, J.W., Duan, H., Tyler, D.M., and Lai, E.C. (2007). The mirtron pathway generates microRNA-class regulatory RNAs in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **130**, 89–100.
- Okamura, K., and Lai, E.C. (2008). Endogenous small interfering RNAs in animals. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **9**, 673–678.
- Ruby, J.G., Jan, C.H., and Bartel, D.P. (2007a). Intronic microRNA precursors that bypass Drosha processing. *Nature* **448**, 83–86.
- Ruby, J.G., Stark, A., Johnston, W.K., Kellis, M., Bartel, D.P., and Lai, E.C. (2007b). Evolution, biogenesis, expression, and target predictions of a substantially expanded set of *Drosophila* microRNAs. *Genome Res.* **17**, 1850–1864.
- Sandmann, T., and Cohen, S.M. (2007). Identification of novel *Drosophila melanogaster* microRNAs. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e1265.
- Stark, A., Brennecke, J., Russell, R.B., and Cohen, S.M. (2003). Identification of *Drosophila* MicroRNA targets. *PLoS Biol.* **1**, E60.
- Stark, A., Kheradpour, P., Parts, L., Brennecke, J., Hodges, E., Hannon, G.J., and Kellis, M. (2007). Systematic discovery and characterization of fly microRNAs using 12 *Drosophila* genomes. *Genome Res.* **17**, 1865–1879.
- Tam, O.H., Aravin, A.A., Stein, P., Girard, A., Murchison, E.P., Cheloufi, S., Hodges, E., Anger, M., Sachidanandam, R., Schultz, R.M., and Hannon, G.J. (2008). Pseudogene-derived small interfering RNAs regulate gene expression in mouse oocytes. *Nature* **453**, 534–538.
- van Hoof, A., Lennertz, P., and Parker, R. (2000). Three conserved members of the RNase D family have unique and overlapping functions in the processing of 5S, 5.8S, U4, U5, RNase MRP and RNase P RNAs in yeast. *EMBO J.* **19**, 1357–1365.
- Watanabe, T., Totoki, Y., Toyoda, A., Kaneda, M., Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S., Obata, Y., Chiba, H., Kohara, Y., Kono, T., Nakano, T., et al. (2008). Endogenous siRNAs from naturally formed dsRNAs regulate transcripts in mouse oocytes. *Nature* **453**, 539–543.
- Zhu, Q.H., Spriggs, A., Matthew, L., Fan, L., Kennedy, G., Gubler, F., and Helliwell, C. (2008). A diverse set of microRNAs and microRNA-like small RNAs in developing rice grains. *Genome Res.* **18**, 1456–1465.